GPA Par System

An Overview of Its Practical Use

Here are 3 stages that have been used in Level 3 matches, from simple to fairly complex stages, with the results shown as a comparison. We'll apply the PAR calculator to them and describe the how and why for each.

Example 1 (See stage design on next page)

The first is a seated stage, gun on the table, hands on knees, reloads on person. The non-threats in front of the shooter are positioned so that the 2 end targets are not visible without shifting to one side or the other. The targets are 7 yards from the seat. The center of the 5 threats is unobstructed by the non-threats and the 2 left or right of center target have the edge of the non-threat in line with the edge of the head – they only cover 1" of the down zero on the one side of the targets.

Even though the gun is on the table, the "draw" is the same 1.0 sec.

1 reload required, 15 shots, no position changes, no style shots.

The complexity factor could be debated. Keep in mind, however, that this is for top-level shooters and the non-threats are largely a non-issue for them. As far as the shifting in the seat for target acquisition, they would start leaning to one side and during reload shift to the opposite side to grab their mag and acquire the final target(s). With a Complexity Factor (CF) of zero, 4 shooters out of 144 made Platinum. Knowing who these shooters are, the numbers match the estimated results.

START POSITION: Saring SCORING: 15 rounds, Unlimited TARGETS: 5 IDPA threat 6 non-threat SCORED HITS: Best 3 per target. STAGE PROCEDURE: START-STOP : Audible - Last Shot On the start signal engage targets "in the open" with 3 rounds each. **CONCEALMENT:** NOT Required One mandatory reload required (double PE for failing to reload = 6 seconds) MUZZLE SAFE: 180-degree plane ONE cheek must remain on the chair while shooting. DISTANCE: 7 yards also 1 PE for failing to stay seated as defined. The chair is in a FIXED position, the table can be adjusted for shooter size discrepancies. NOTES: Due to target positions it may become a DQ to stand and shoot!



GPA Stage / String Par Calculator

ads Shots	PC		Style	CF	C	omplex Fa	octor	Par
0.4	2		0.3	2	Description			Total
(*)	(**)		(*)					
15	0		0	0				
6.0	0.0		0.0	0.0				8.5
)	0.4 (*) 15 5 6.0	101 0.4 2 (*) (**) 15 0 5 6.0 0.0	101 0.4 2 (*) (**) 15 0 5 6.0 0.0	101 0.4 2 0.3 (*) (**) (*) 15 0 0 5 6.0 0.0	101 0.1013 110 001012 011 0 0.4 2 0.3 2 (*) (**) (*) (*) 15 0 0 0 5 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	101 0.1013 110 0.1013 01 01 0.4 2 0.3 2 (*) (**) (*) 15 0 0 0 5 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	101 01013 110 01013 110 01013 01	101 110 000 000 0.4 2 0.3 2 Description (*) (**) (*) 0 15 0 0 0 5 6.0 0.0 0.0

1-Ennis, Michael 🔛	6.96	0.00	6.96	Full Size Optic
2-Fiori, Michael	7.32	0.00	7.32	FSO
3-Rose, Kenneth	7.36	0.00	7.36	FSI – Full Size Iron Sigh
4-Hawkins, David	8.53	0.00	8.53	FSO
5-Ethridge, Michael	8.64	0.00	8.64	FSO – Full Size Optic

Example 2 (See stage design on next page)

This stage has you draw and engage 2 targets SHO, then from the opposite side of the barricade engage 2 targets WHO. You then move to P1 followed by P2. Each target requires 3 rounds.

Draw, reload, 24 rounds.

For Position Changes – you could say 2 OR 3. You have the obvious, move from SP to P1 then to P2 ='s 2 PC's, since you need to shift to the opposite side of the barrel AND switch hands for target engagement you could call this a PC also. I would say NO but since you are switching hands, add this as a Complexity Factor instead. Either way it adds 2 seconds to the formula.

We also have Style factor, 12 rounds in SHO/WHO. (1 style factor for *each shot* required in that manner.)

CF's call for 2, one for the switching hands and the other for a long distance to travel to get from P1 to P2.

Let's see how this works out.



GPA S	tage / Sti	ring Par	Calculate	or				
							Calculated Match Par:	23.7
Draw	Reloads	Shots	PC		Style	CF	Complex Factor	Par
1	1.5	0.4	2		0.3	2	Description	Total
		(*)	(**)		(*)			
1	1	24	2		12	2		
1.0	1.5	9.6	4.0		3.6	4.0	Switch hands, distance	23.7

1-Maday, Adam	19.19	1.00	18.69	FSO
2-Fiori, Michael	20.48	5.00	17.98	FSO
3-Cooper, Tyrel	21.24	0.00	21.24	FSO – Full Size Optic
4-Smith, Scott	21.78	5.00	19.28	FSO – Full Size Optic
5-Ethridge, Michael	22.31	8.00	18.31	FSO – Full Size Optic
6-Prystash, Jason	23.84	2.00	22.84	FSO

6 made Platinum here. Again, knowing the shooters this is correct.

Example 3 (See stage design on next page)

This gets a bit more complex. There are targets shot from SP (which is P1), then on the way to P2 targets get shot through a port, upon leaving P2 more targets from in the open, then at P3 a final target. This would be 4 PC's – P1 to the Port, Port to P2, P2 to "in the open", "In the open" to P3.

So, Draw, Reload, 22 rounds, 4 PC's, NO Style shots, 1 CF for the non-threat position while in the open.

Elementary Watson								
SCENARIO:								
START POSITION: Start position is left foot touching P1 fault line. STAGE PROCEDURE: engage T1 in the open P1engage T2and T3 Engage T4 and T5 through the port P2 engage T6, T7 and T8 Engage T9 and T10 in the open. P3 engage T11	SCORING: TARGETS: SCORED HITS: START-STOP : CONCEALMENT: MUZZLE SAFE: DISTANCE: NOTES:	22 rounds, Unlimited 11 IDPA threat 2 non-threat Best 2 per target. Audible - Last Shot NOT Required 180-degree plane 3 - 11 yards						



GPA St	tage / Sti	ring Par	Calculate	or						
							Calcula	ted Mat	ch Par:	21.3
Draw	Reloads	Shots	PC		Style	CF	Con	nplex Fa	ctor	Par
1	1.5	0.4	2		0.3	2	Description			Total
		(*)	(**)		(*)					
1	1	22	4		0	1				
1.0	1.5	8.8	8.0		0.0	2.0	Non threats			21.3

1-jorden, ben	17.39	3.00	0.00	0.00	15.89	FSO	Platinum = MA
2-Sczesny, Eric	18.52	4.00	0.00	0.00	16.52	FSO	Platinum = MA
3-VanDyke, Lawrence	18.92	2.00	0.00	0.00	17.92	FSO	Gold = EX
4-Ennis, Michael 🗱	19.27	2.00	0.00	0.00	18.27	FSI	Platinum = MA
5-Cooper, Tyrel	20.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.37	CPI	Platinum = MA
6-Coxwell, Corey	20.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.38	FSO	Silver = SS
7-Prystash, Jason	21.40	5.00	0.00	0.00	18.9	FSO	UN

The actual scores for the competitors in a match show that the is a good representation of the calculator working. There may be some that are somewhat high or low but with over 200 matches, we have seen this work out within the match totals very well.